17

Previous references.

Ft-116-05-2
15 Feb 06.

1905-06.
(Forest.)

File No. 116

Serial Nos. 3 to 6.

Later references.

Ft-184-08
Ns 1-3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 3 to 6.

Part B. for September 1906

SUBJECT.

Position of Ramiengar, Ranger on deputation to Oxford, in the list of Forest officers.

N.3 From the Conservator of Forests. No: 7887 dd 10-4-06.
Submits for orders a representation from R.V. Ramiengar regarding his position in the list of Forest officers.

N.4 To the Comptroller. R 14203 dd 7-5-06.
Forward N.3 and enquire whether there is anything in the Coorg Rules to prohibit Ramiengar's Pork Service being taken into account should he fail in his Ry^n. or Hons^s to return before completing his course. Should

G. R. S. 58

G. R. S. 11] 1905.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Forest)

NOTES.

File No. 116

FILE HEADING.

Position of Ramiengar, Ranger, on deputation to Oxford in the list of Forest officers.

From

The Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

No. 7887 Dated 10.4.06 Received 16.4.06

Diary No. 9285 | Enclos. 1 | Spare Copies. - | Serial No. 3

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits for orders a representation from B.V. Ramiengar regarding his position in the list of Forest Officers; states that he understands that — Ramiengar is doing so well at the College that the chances of his failing to secure a diploma in Forestry seem to be very small.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The conditions of Ramiengar's services are detailed in G.O. No. 10802-3 — F. 2116.05-1 3 dated the 16th February 1906: They are

(1) that his place in the Dept will be permanently filled up;

NOTES—First sheet.

MGCPB—30,000—17-2-05.

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 116 of 1905

Serial No.

(2) that if he comes back successfully after securing a diploma his previous service and the period of training will be treated as counting towards pension

(3) that if he fails he will forfeit his past service and begin service as a new entrant

2. While feeling grateful for the generosity of Govt in granting him a Scholarship, Ramiengar states that if he cannot be seconded in the list of Forest Rangers he may be recalled.

3. Condition 6 of the agreement executed by him recites that if Govt shall have no use for his services he can base no claim for employment on the ground of Govt having paid his expenses while in England

May 5 1905

4. The Conservator suggests that Ramiengar be assured that though his name has been removed from the list of Forest officers he will be given a place, on his return, not below the one he would have attained had he continued in active service and that no officer junior to him

after a successful career out of

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 116 of 190 5

Serial No.

will supersede him.

Subt for orders as to whether any such assurance is necessary.

CS 17.4.06

As he has already been 17/4/06 in service, such an assurance may be given to him. The reason why his place is permanently filled is to avoid certain technical difficulties as to the leave to be granted to him and as he is said to be a promising student, I do not suppose that it is necessary to add any incentive to his exertions by threatening him with complete removal from service in case he fails to pass

18/4/06

Submitted to the Dewan Saheb thro the 1st Councillor.

He has now been removed from the list of forest officers with a provision of his being taken on again if he comes out successful; his past service being added. He should be retained in the list of permits & leases.

18.4.1906 P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet 98 18-4-06

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 116 of 1905

Serial No.

The Diwan decides that the Comptroller may be consulted as to whether there is anything in the Codal rules to prohibit Ramiengar's past service being taken into account, should he unfortunately fail in his exams? or have to retire before completing his course, and should it be decided to re-entertain him as proposed in the 1st Councillor's note.

The Comptroller's note of 13-1-06 in file no 116 of 1905 does not make it clear whether his suggestion no 3 (re: forfeiture of past service on failure) is merely an opinion of his, or is the result of the application of Codal rules. In the latter case, the Govt have apparently no discretion in the matter.

B/P.

30-4-06

Draft-accepted
2.5.1906

3/5/1

3/5/06

Sub'd with draft.
3/5/06

Passed
3.5.1906

Sho

To the Comptroller, No. R. 14203 dated 7th May 1906.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 116 of 190

Serial No.

that the assurance proposed by him may be given to Ramiengar?

29/5/06

30/5/06.

Rec'd 31/5/06.

The draft of the reply to the Comptroller (based on the Secretary's instructions) is incomplete in that it does not state (as it ought to have stated at the end of para 1) the terms of entertainment "proposed in the 1st Commissioner's note".

It is useless now discussing the deputation of Ramiengar to England which is an accomplished fact. The question is ~~now~~ what is the fairest arrangement now in the interests of both himself & Govt. What he asks for is ~~after~~ evidently too much & apparently more than he could have contemplated when he left this for England.

All things considered the compromise suggested by me in the 2nd Para seems fair as in case of failure it restores Ramiengar to the status quo ante. The Comptroller says there are no Codal rules strictly applicable to the case. That is sufficient support for the compromise suggested.

2/June/06.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

8

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 116 of 1905

Serial No.

W.O. No. 87 dated 17th July 1906

Returned to the Comptroller (unofficially) for opinion with reference to the compromise suggested by the Dy. Commissioner - Mr. Chamdrasekhara Iyer's letter

17.7.06

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE
19. JUL. 1906
(13)
MYSORE

(Note No. 11 of 19-7-06.) As a question of policy and for the reasons given in paragraphs 6 and 7 of my letter no 6561 of 25th July 1906 I am strongly of opinion that no definite promise should be given at present.

Personally, I believe, when the Ramanujam comes back and even if he is unfortunately unsuccessful, it will pay us to offer him a higher pay than he was drawing at the time he left for England but for both for his own good and in the general interest of the State I would not give a definite promise.

Before under disposal 21-7-06

The counting of past service by the 1st Commissioner seems to me to be too liberal when the officer fails to keep the prescribed standard.

I still think the safest course

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 116 of 1905.

Serial No.

is beg "ditto" to the Conservator's proposal.

L Brad
19.7.06

Dewan 19/7/06

633/23-7-06 Forest 5 4/11/7

There is thus nothing in what I have proposed contrary to Codal Rules. Thus one important point, one on which the Dewan had doubts, is cleared up. What I have proposed is a compromise (a fair compromise I think) betn what the Applicant asks for & what the Comptroller & the Conservator of Forests object - Having sent Ramiya away across the seas in such hurry it wd ill become Govt to leave him in the lurch in England - Wd therefore press my suggestion for the Dewans Consideration - Thos Judge 26/7/06

Vide (1-c) note of 21-4-06 - 4

Dewan 25/7/06 26/7/06

1600/26.7.06

The Dewan agrees with the Ist Councillor's opinion. An order may be issued accordingly.

CSB 28/8/06

Draft & submit 4 21/8/06

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—50,000—17-2 05

Rev. Forests]

No. 7887

Enclosures Nil.

OFFICE OF THE CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore, 10th April 1906.

From

RAI BAHADUR M. MUTHANNAH, Esq.,
Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

To

B. K. Venkatavaradaiengar Esq.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments, Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honour to submit herewith, for the orders of Government, a representation from B.V. Ramiengar, Forest Student on deputation to Oxford, regarding his position in the list of Forest Officers, and to state that I understand that Ramiengar is doing so well at the college that the chances of his failing to secure a diploma in Forestry seem to be very small. I, therefore, beg respectfully to suggest that in modification of Government Proceedings No R.10802-5/Ft.116-05-2 dated the 17th February 1906, an assurance may be given to him that though his name is

GPB—500—26-10-05

removed from the list of Forest Officers, he will be given, on his return, after a successful career at Oxford, a place not below the one he would have attained had he continued in the active service and that no Officer junior to him in rank will supersede him.

5

G.R.S. 26]

461

File No. 11605

Serial No 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 9285

No. R 14203

Encs. 2 9 I record collection

General and Rev. Secretariat
(Forest)
, *the* 190 .

To

The Comptroller to the
Mysore Government.

Date of issue 7th May 06

Signed by

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith, in original, to be obligingly returned, letter No. 7887 dated the 10th April 1906, from the Conservator of Forests in Mysore, with one enclosure, being a representation from Ranger B. V. Ramiengar regarding his position in the list of Forest Officers. ~~The Secretary~~ Govt desires to be informed whether there is anything in the

over.

4/5

SUBJECT.

Codal rules to prohibit Pr. ... appearing
first Service being taken into
account should be unfortunately
fail in his Examinations or
have to return before completing
his course and should it be
decided to reinstate him in
2. Your note dated 12. 1. 06
in Tel. No 116 of 1905 does not
make it clear whether your
suggestion no 3 (re forfeiture
of first service on failure)
is merely an expression of
your opinion or in the result
of the strict application of
the Codal Rules. In the
latter case Govt have apparently
no discretion in the matter.
I request the favour of an
early reply.

I have &c

Secretary

herewith Vernon

List of enclosures.

Copied by Hall 5/5/06

Examined by

MGCPB—1000-10-12—04.

to improve my education as well as my prospects in the service. But I beg to state that in trying to improve my prospects, I was not ready to risk to lose what I already had. I am not in a position to leave the substance & look for the shadow. Under these circumstances I beg that in case the Govt. are not ~~perhaps~~ good enough to second me in the list of the officers of the Forest-Department in the rank I may be entitled to from time to time, You will kindly move the Govt. to recall me to my appointment.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

B.V. Ramaswamy

Forest Student Oxford

Rec'd: 4/4/06

St. John's College
Oxford
6th March. 906

To
The Conservator of Forests in Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your No: 1443
enclosing me a copy of the Govt: proceedings
No R 1080-3/82-1, dated the 17th Feb 1906.
I beg to state that I am entirely grateful
for the favourable, & the Govt: [illegible] have
given me the privilege of [illegible]
[illegible]

H.F.116-0
8 no. 7

No. 65 6/ Fin 3

Office of the Comptroller,
Mysore Government,
Bangalore, Dated 25 May 1906.
28

10730 Rec'd

From

Rai N.G.Basu Bahadur, M.A.,
Comptroller of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

B.K.Venkatavarada Iyengar, Esq.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No.14203 dated the 7th May forwarding for remarks a representation from Ranger B.V.Ramiengar regarding his position in the list of Forest officers.

2. There are no Code rules strictly applicable to Mr.Ramiengar's case, because such an unusually liberal treatment of which Mr. Raminengar is the fortunate recipient is unknown in British India. The suggestions made by me in the case were strictly in accordance with the recommendations of the Conservator of Forest. These recommendations as summarised in my unofficial note dated the 13th January 1906 were that in the event of the scholars succeeding in getting their diplomas they would be eligible for appointment in the superior grades of the Forest Department on certain scales of pay, but if they failed to get the diploma they would have no claim upon the State.

I understood from the above that the scales of pay in the superior grades of the Department would be substantially in excess of the rates of pay that the scholar was getting in the Forest Department at the time of his departure for England. If there is no likelihood of this anticipation being realised then I see no objection to an assurance being given that on Mr. Ramiengar's return, after a successful career at Oxford, a place

not

not below the one he would have attained had he continued in the active service would be given him.

4. But from Mr.Ramiengar's letter of 16th March I understand what he wants is that if he fails to secure a diploma he should still be allowed to come back to the place where he would have been if he served for three years in India. The Conservator of Forests is not apparently willing to be a party to this "Heads I win Tails you lose" sort of arrangement for in the last paragraph of his letter of 10th April he distinctly says that should Mr.Ramiengar fail to secure a diploma, he must be content with such place as Government may be pleased to assign to him.

5. Now when an officer is allowed to leave the service on the distinct understanding that in the event of certain contingencies he would have no claim to anything but should accept what the Government may be pleased to assign to him, the officer cannot possibly have a lien on his past service and my suggestion No.3 is really a translation in financial language of the conditions imposed by the Conservator.

6. On general grounds, also I think it is impossible for Government to grant the concession prayed for by Mr.Ramiengar. If Government officers are to be sent to England for a number of years at Government expense without any risk on the part of the recipients of the scholarship, and with all the risk of loss and expense on the Government side then there cannot be any inducement for selecting the candidates most promising or most likely to succeed at the Examination.

7. I am of opinion, therefore, that the assurance asked for in the Conservator's letter may be given and no question should be raised about suggestion 3 of my Note .

Enclosure returned.

I have the h[illegible]or to be,

Sir[illegible]

Your most obed[illegible]rvant,

[illegible]

Comptroller.

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 116-05
Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replid to 10730

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Forest)

, the 190

Date of issue 3/9/1906

Signed by P. G. D'Souza Esqr

READ.—

Letter No. 7887 dated the 10th April 1906, from the Conservator of Forests in Mysore, submitting for orders, a representation from B. V. Ramiengar, Forest Student on deputation to Oxford regarding his position in the list of Forest Officers.

2. Letter No. 6561 dated the 25th May 1906, from the Comptroller, Mysore Govt, furnishing his opinion.

Order thereon.

~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~

~~over~~

No. R. 2722-3

SUBJECT

Directs the substitution of sentence in G.O. No. 10802-87 17-2-06 providing for Ramiengar being taken back into the Dept. as a Ranger on Rs. 100/- if he fails, with his past service.

MGCPB—20,000—24-2-05

Upon a careful considera-
tion of the circumstances
Govt are pleased to direct
that for the last sentence
of para 1 in Govt Progs No:
10802 3 dated the 17th Febry 1906
Fl Fr 111-05
the following sentence be substi-
tuted viz

"If, however, he fails, he will
"be taken back into the Departt
"as a Ranger on Rs 105 counting
"his past service but beginning
"as a new entrant in that grade."

No further concessions can be
granted.

Secretary.

To The Conservator of Forests for
information & communication
to Rangers.
The Comptroller